

AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION

After the title of the invention, on page 1 of the specification, kindly insert the following paragraph:

This is a Divisional of Serial No. 09/763,455, filed February 23, 2001 which is a 371 of PCT/JP00/03993, filed June 19, 2000.

Please amend the paragraph that begins on page 7, line 19 as follows:

The above titanium oxide may have an amorphous structure but preferably a rutile, anatase or brookite crystal structure. Preferably, at least 1/2, that is, at least 50 % of the amount of titanium oxide has at least one of rutile, anatase or brookite crystal structures. Out of these crystal structures, the rutile type is more preferred and at least 1/2, that is, 50 % of the amount of titanium oxide has a rutile type crystal structure. However, as rutile type titanium dioxide is more expensive than anatase type titanium dioxide, anatase type titanium dioxide is preferably used from an economical point of view. The titanium oxide may be a titanium oxide fine particle or a composite oxide of titanium and at least one element selected from the group consisting of Si, Al, Sn, Sb, Ta, Ce, La, Fe, Zn, W, Zr and In. Examples of the composite oxide include a composite oxide fine particle of titanium and iron ($\text{TiO}_2 \cdot \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$), composite oxide fine particle of titanium and silicon ($\text{TiO}_2 \cdot \text{SiO}_2$), composite oxide fine particle of titanium and cerium ($\text{TiO}_2 \cdot \text{CeO}_2$), composite oxide fine particle of titanium, iron and silicon ($\text{TiO}_2 \cdot \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot \text{SiO}_2$), composite oxide fine particle of titanium, ~~iron and cerium~~ and silicon ($\text{TiO}_2 \cdot \text{CeO}_2 \cdot \text{SiO}_2$), composite oxide fine particle of titanium, zirconium and silicon ($\text{TiO}_2 \cdot \text{ZrO}_2 \cdot \text{SiO}_2$) and composite oxide fine particle of titanium, aluminum and silicon ($\text{TiO}_2 \cdot \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot \text{SiO}_2$).

Please amend the heading at page 34, line 22, as follows:

Examples 1 to 8 and 12 to 14 and Comparative Examples 1 to 8 4

Please amend Table 2 on page 37 of the specification as follows:

Table 2

No.	lens substrate	primer solution	hard coat solution	adhesion	hardness
Ex. 1	A	1	2	100 %	5
Ex. 2	A	2	1	100 %	5
Ex. 3	A	2	2	100 %	5
Ex. 4	A	none	2	100 %	5
Ex. 5	B	3	4	100 %	4
Ex. 6	B	3	5	100 %	4
Ex. 7	B	none	4	100 %	4
Ex. 8	B	none	5	100 %	4
Ex. 9	A	5	7	100 %	5
Ex. 10	A	6	6	100 %	5
Ex. 11	A	6	7	100 %	5
Ex. 12	A	none	7	100 %	5
Ex. 13	B	3	9	100 %	4
Ex. 14	B	none	9	100 %	4
C.Ex. 1	A	1	1	100 %	5
C.Ex. 2	A	none	1	100 %	5
C.Ex. 3	B	3	3	100 %	4
C.Ex. 4	B	none	3	100 %	4
C.Ex. 5	A	5	7	100 %	5
C.Ex. 6	A	none	7	100 %	5
C.Ex. 7	B	3	9	100 %	4
C.Ex. 8	B	none	9	100 %	4

Please amend Table 4 on page 39 of the specification as follows:

Table 4

	weatherability test					
	after 60 hours			after 120 hours		
	appearance	adhesion	hardness	appearance	adhesion	hardness
Ex-9	A	100	5	A	100	5
Ex-10	A	100	5	A	100	5
Ex-11	A	100	5	A	100	5
Ex. 12	A	100	5	A	100	5
Ex. 13	A	100	4	A	100	4
Ex. 14	A	100	4	A	100	4
C-Ex-5	A	100	5	A	100	5
C-Ex-6	A	100	5	A	100	5
C-Ex-7	A	100	4	A	100	4
C-Ex-8	A	100	4	A	100	4

Table 4 (Continued)

	weatherability test					
	after 180 hours			after 240 hours		
	appearance	adhesion	hardness	appearance	adhesion	hardness
Ex-9	A	100	5	A	100	4
Ex-10	A	100	4	B	100	3~4
Ex-11	A	100	5	A	100	4
Ex-12	A	100	5	A	100	4
Ex-13	A	100	4	A	100	3~4
Ex-14	A	100	4	A	100	3~4
C-Ex-5	A	100	2~3	B	0	1~2
C-Ex-6	A	100	2	A	0	1~2
C-Ex-7	B	100	2	B	0	1~2
C-Ex-8	B	100	2	B	0	1~2

Please amend the paragraph that begins on page 40, line 1, to line 5, as follows:

Examples 1 to 8 and 12 to 14 and Comparative Examples 1 to 8 4 are satisfactory in terms of appearance, film adhesion and film hardness after 120 hours of a weatherability test but differences in these properties is observed among them after 180 hours of the weatherability test.

Please amend the paragraph that begins on page 40, line 6, to line 8, as follows:

Film adhesion is satisfactory even after 240 hours in Examples 1 to 14 but film adhesion is lost after 240 hours in Comparative Examples 1 to 8 4.

Please amend the paragraph that begins on page 40, line 9, to line 18, as follows:

When anatase type titanium oxide is used, film hardness is satisfactory after 180 hours in Examples 1 to 8 but it deteriorates after 180 hours in Comparative Examples 1 to 4. When rutile type titanium oxide is used, film hardness is satisfactory after 240 hours in Examples 12 9 to 14 but it deteriorates after 180 hours in Comparative Examples 5 to 8. When anatase type titanium oxide is used, Examples 1 to 8 are superior in film appearance to Comparative Examples 1 to 4. When rutile type titanium oxide is used, both Examples and Comparative Examples are satisfactory after 240 hours.